ISSN:3026-0442



Proceedings of the 1st International Conference on Education, Science Technology And Health (ICONESTH 2023 Universitas Bina Bangsa Getsempena, Dec 12-14, 2023, Banda Aceh, Indonesia)

CORRELATION JUNIOR HIGH SCHOOL STUDENT'S LEARNING MOTIVATION AND MATHEMATICS-CREATIVE THINKING ABILITY IN KABUPATEN ACEH BARAT

Lili Handayani*, Siti Mayang Sari, Akmaluddin, Jonni Sitorus 1,2,3 Universitas Bina Bangsa Getsempena, Banda Aceh-Indonesia

*Corresponding email: lilihandayani03@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

The research aims are as follows: describing the student's learning motivation and mathematics-creative thinking ability; testing their correlations; and calculating the contribution percentage of the learning motivation to the increase in the student's mathematics-creative thinking ability. The total sample size is 620 students from ten junior high schools. Data was collected by two methods, as follows: a questionnaire and a test. There are sixteen questions in the learning motivation questionnaire and one open-ended question on the student's mathematics creative thinking ability test. The data is analysed quantitatively. The correlation between the learning motivation and the students' mathematics-creative thinking ability was analysed by using Pearson Product-Moment Correlation with $\alpha = 5\%$ and N = 620. The research showed that the learning motivation and five of the its indicators have positive and significant correlations and have contributed to the increase with a student's mathematics creativity ability and the three of its indicators.

Keywords: learning motivation, correlation, mathematics, creative thinking ability.

INTRODUCTION

Motivation is defined as an individual's will, desire, and desire to encourage others to engage in activities (Warti, 2016). Motivation plays an important role in the learning process (Krismony et al., 2020), because motivation can foster self-motivation, curiosity, and being active in learning, so that students are encouraged to study more seriously.

There are some indicators to measure motivation as follows: the desire and the wish to succeed; the encouragement and needs in learning; the hopes and aspirations for the future; the learning appreciation; and interesting activities (Uno, 2010). The desire and wish for success have five sub-indicators as follows: active to learn, nice to learn, not hopeless to learn too quickly, not satisfied with the results obtained too quickly, and tenacious to face the learning difficulties (Sardiman, 2014). The drive and needs for learning have four sub-indicators as follows: having clear learning goals, being curious, having feedback, and being interested in learning. Hope and ambition for the future have two sub-indicators as follows: looking for things related to learning and perseverance in learning. The interesting learning activities have three sub-indicators as follows: avoiding punishment, receiving praise (an award), and achieving in class. A conducive learning environment has two sub-indicators as follows: comfortable learning place, and interested in teacher's teaching way in class. Curiosity means that learning is not just knowing but also exploring further to give meaning to what is obtained in the learning process (Mardhiyana et al., 2016).

Learning motivation and students' learning creativity have a positive and significant correlation (Yuan et al., 2011). Achievement motivation and creative thinking ability have a positive and significant correlation, with a correlation coefficient of 0.475 and a significant value of 0.000 < 0.005 (Munandar, 2009). Students who have high motivation will also have high enthusiasm for learning (Wahyuni, 2006). Students motivate themselves to achieve something and are not easily discouraged.

The research question is "is there any positive and significant correlation between learning motivation and a student's mathematics-creative thinking ability?". Research aim is to test the correlation between learning motivation and a student's mathematics-creative thinking ability.

METHODS

The research was held in ten junior high schools, namely seven state schools and three private schools in Kabupaten Aceh Barat-Indonesia. This research is quantitative. There are two main variables to be searched for in this research, namely: learning motivation (X), and mathematics-creative thinking ability (Y).

thinking ability									
No.	Variable	Indikator	Sub Indikator						
1.	*Learning	Desire and wish for	Active to learn						
	motivation (X)	success (X ₁)	Nice to learn						
			Not hopeless to learn too quickly						
			Not satisfied with the results obtained too						
			quickly						
			Tenacious to face the learning difficulties						
		The drive and need	Having clear learning goals						
		for learning (X ₂)	Being curious						
			having feedback						
			Being interested in learning						

Table 1. Indicator and sub-indicator of learning motivation and mathematics-creative thinking ability

Correlation Junior High School Student's Learning Motivation And Mathematics-Creative Thinking Ability In Kabupaten Aceh Barat Lili Handayani*, Siti Mayang Sari, Akmaluddin, Jonni Sitorus

		Hope and ambition	Looking for things related to learning	
		for the future (X ₃)	Perseverance in learning	
		Interesting learning	Avoiding punishment	
		activities (X ₄)	Receiving praise (award)	
			Achieving in class	
	A conduciv		Comfortable learning place	
		learning	Interested in teacher's teaching way in class	
		environment (X5)		
2.	. **Creative thinking Fluency (Y_1)		The many ideas	
	ability		The many answers	
			Many problem-solving ways	
		Fexibility (Y ₂)	The variety of ideas or answers from the	
			different viewpoints	
			Many different approaches or thoughts	
		Novelty (Y ₃)	The many new, unique, and/or unusual ideas or	
			combinations	

The research population is all students in SMP in Kabupaten Aceh Barat. The total sample is 620 students from ten junior high schools, with an average of 60–65 students per school. There are 321 female samples and 299 male ones. They are the first or third grade students in their school age group (13–16 years old).

The research data was collected by two methods as follows: a questionnaire and a test. There are sixteen questions in the learning motivation questionnaire, which were arranged according to each indicator and subindicator as mentioned in Table 1. There are five choice options in each question of the learning motivation questionnaire to be chosen by the respondents (students), namely from 1 to 5 (scale 5). The number "1" means the lowest learning motivation and the number "5" means the highest learning motivation. A score is given according to the number in the choice option, namely from a score of 1 until 5.

There is 1 open-ended question on the student's mathematics creative thinking ability test, which is designed based on the material of twodimensional figures. An open-ended question has multiple or more than one correct answer. Here's an open-ended question about mathematics-creative thinking ability:



Figure 1. Picture of a rectangle

Instruction: Please divide the picture of the rectangle into two parts of the same area. Please give as many unique, novel, or uncommon answer alternatives as possible. As many as possible, provide more than 5 alternative answers.

Validation and reliability tests were also performed for the learning motivation questionnaire. The validation test used Pearson Product-Moment Correlation with $\alpha = 5\%$ and N = 620. The reliability test used Cronbach's Alpha with N = 620 (the number of respondents) and n = 16 (the number of questions). The results showed that all the sixteen questions in the learning motivation questionnaire are valid with each of $r_{xy} > r_{table}$. The result of the reliability test is 0.897, which is very high (Ermistri, 2017). It means that the learning motivation questionnaire is reliable and acceptable.

The research data is analysed quantitatively. The correlation between the learning motivation and the students' mathematics-creative thinking ability was analysed by using Pearson Product-Moment Correlation with $\alpha = 5\%$ and N = 620. The categories of correlation are as follows: very low (0.000-0.199), low (0.200-0.399), moderate (0.400-0.599), high (0.600-0.799) and very high (0.800-1.000) (Guilford, 1950). The correlation significance test between the learning motivation (X) and the student's mathematics-creative thinking ability (Y) is calculated by using t_{test}, with the condition that t_{test} \leq t_{table} = not significant, and t_{test} > t_{table} = significant.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Table 2 shows the results of the correlation test between learning motivation and mathematical creative thinking ability.

thinking donity									
Variable (X)	Variable (Y)	r _{xy}	Correlation category	t- _{test}	t- _{table}				
Learning motivation (X)	Mathematics creative thinking ability (Y)	0.7584	High	28.8997	1.6472				
Desire and wish for	Fluency (Y_1)	0.7298	High	26.5186					
success (X ₁)	Flexibility (Y ₂)	0.6211	High	19.6843					
	Novelty (Y ₃)	0.6255	High	19.9120					
The drive and need	Fluency (Y ₁)	0.7297	High	26.5097					
for learning (X ₂)	Flexibility (Y ₂)	0.6359	High	20.4661					
	Novelty (Y ₃)	0.6103	High	19.1387					
Hope and ambition	Fluency (Y ₁)	0.5462	Moderate	16.1974					
for the future (X_3)	Flexibility (Y ₂)	0.4327	Moderate	11.9227					
	Novelty (Y ₃)	0.5162	Moderate	14.9719					
Interesting learning	Fluency (Y_1)	0.5390	Moderate	15.8938					
activities (X ₄)	Flexibility (Y ₂)	0.4482	Moderate	12.4554					
	Novelty (Y ₃)	0.5031	Moderate	14.4592					
A conducive learning	Fluency (Y_1)	0.5528	Moderate	16.4777					
environment (X ₅)	Flexibility (Y ₂)	0.4554	Moderate	12.7073	1				
	Novelty (Y ₃)	0.4891	Moderate	13.9279	1				

Table 2. Correlation test between learning motivation and mathematics creative thinking ability

Table 2 shows that all r_{xy} values of variables are positive, and the t-test values of variables are greater than t_{-table} . It means that 1) there is a positive and significant correlation between learning motivation (X) and mathematicscreative thinking ability (Y), there is a positive and significant correlation between desire and wish for success (X_1) and fluency (Y_1) ; there is a positive and significant correlation between desire and wish for success (X_1) and flexibility (Y_2) ; and there is a positive and significant correlation between desire and wish for success (X_1) and novelty (Y_3) ; 3) there is a positive and significant correlation between the drive and need for learning (X_2) and fluency (Y_1) ; there is a positive and significant correlation between the drive and need for learning (X_2) and flexibility (Y_2) ; and there is a positive and significant correlation between the drive and need for learning (X_2) and novelty (Y_3) ; 4) there is a positive and significant correlation between hope and ambition for the future (X_3) and fluency (Y_1) ; there is a positive and significant correlation between hope and ambition for the future (X_3) and flexibility (Y_2) ; and there is a positive and significant correlation between hope and ambition for the future (X_3) and fluency (Y_3) ; 5) there is a positive and significant correlation between interesting learning activities (X_4) and fluency (Y_1) ; there is a positive and significant correlation between interesting learning activities (X₄) and flexibility (Y_2) ; and there is a positive and significant correlation between interesting learning activities (X_4) and novely (Y_3) ; 6) there is a positive and significant correlation between a conducive learning environment (X_5) and fluency (Y_1) ; there is a positive and significant correlation between a conducive learning environment (X_5) and flexibility (Y_2) ; and there is a positive and significant correlation between a conducive learning environment (X_5) and fluency (Y_3) .

The research finding is supported by some opinions that say that there is a positive and significant correlation between the learning motivation and the student's mathematics creative thinking ability (Ermistri, 2017; Safitri et al., 2014). Learning motivation will increase the desire to learn, so that creativity will also increase. One of the factors that can encourage the individual's creativity is the student's own encouragement (intrinsic learning motivation). Every individual has the tendency or drive within himself to be creative, to realize his potential, to reveal and activate all his capacities. This drive is the primary motivation for creativity when individuals form new relationships with their environment. According to Treffinger et al. (2002), individuals must have intrinsic motivation to do something on their own accord, in addition to being supported by attention, encouragement, and training from the environment.

According to Arianto et al. (2015), intrinsic learning motivation has a more significant correlation with creative thinking ability than extrinsic learning motivation. It is not dependent on the encouragement and correlation of others, but comes from the students themselves, who always think about the future, full of challenges. According to Silver (1997), the higher and higher student's mathematics creative thinking ability also has the higher and higher learning motivation, or vice versa, the lower and lower student's mathematics creative thinking ability also has the lower and lower learning motivation. According to Safitri et al. (2014), students have a strong desire to learn mathematics for their own progress. They also have the perseverance and tenacity to face the learning difficulties. According to Eftafiyana (2018), partial learning motivation has a correlation with creative thinking ability. Students with a moderate level of learning motivation also have a moderate level of creative thinking ability.

CONCLUSION

Learning motivation has a positive and significant correlation with a student's mathematics creativity ability. Five indicators of learning motivation also have positive and significant correlations with three indicators of creative thinking ability.

REFERENCES

- Arianto, K. N., Hasyim, A., & Yanzi, H. (2015). Pengaruh lingkungan sosial terhadap adab sopan santun siswa kelas X. Jurnal Kultur Demokrasi, Volume 3, No. 8.
- Eftafiyana, S., Nurjanah, S. A., Armania, M., Sugandi, A. I., & Fitriani, N. (2018). Hubungan antara kemampuan berpikir kreatif matematis dan motivasi belajar siswa SMP yang menggunakan pendekatan creative problem solving. *Jurnal Teori dan Riset Matematika (TEOREMA)*, Vol. 2 No. 2, Hal, 85-92. DOI:10.25157/teorema.v2i2.1070.
- Ermistri, A. I. (2017). Hubungan antara motivasi belajar dengan berpikir kreatif matematis pada siswa di kelas VII SMP. *Jurnal Pendidikan dan Pembelajaran: Khatulistiwa*, Vol 6, No. 6.
- Guilford, J. P. (1950). Creativity. *American Psychologist*, 5, 444–454. https://doi.org/10.1037/h0063487.
- Krismony, N. P. A., Parmiti, D. P., & Gusti Ngurah Japa, I. G. N. (2020). Pengembangan instrumen penilaian untuk mengukur motivasi belajar siswa SD. Jurnal Ilmiah Pendidikan Profesi Guru, Volume 3 Nomor 2 2020, pp 249-257. DOI: <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.23887/jippg.v3i2</u>.
- Mardhiyana, D. & Sejati, E. O. W. (2016). Mengembangkan kemampuan berpikir kreatif dan rasa ingin tahu melalui model pembelajaran berbasis masalah. *PRISMA: Prosiding Seminar Nasional Matematika*. Jurusan Matematika Fakultas Matematika dan Ilmu Pengetahuan Alam: Universitas Negeri Semarang.
- Munandar. (2009). *Pengembangan kreativitas anak berbakat*. Jakarta: Rineka Cipta.

Safitri, L. A., Rosidin, U., & Ertikanto, C. (2014). Hubungan kemampuan berpikir kreatif dan motivasi dengan hasil belajar melalui model PBL. *Jurnal Pembelajaran Fisika*, <u>Vol 2, No 3</u>.

Sardiman. (2014). Interaksi & motivasi belajar mengajar. Jakarta: Rajawali.

- Silver, E. A. (1997). Fostering creativity through instruction rich in mathematical problem solving and problem posing. <u>ZDM: The</u> <u>International Journal on Mathematics Education</u>, 29(3):75-80. DOI:10.1007/s11858-997-0003-x.
- Treffinger, D. J., Young, G. C., Selby, E. C., & Shepardson, C. (2002) Assessing creativity: A guide for educators. Sarasota, Florida: Center for Creative learning.
- Uno, H. B. (2010). Teori motivasi & pengukurannya. Jakarta: PT Bumi Aksara.
- Wahyuni, S. (2006). Hubungan motivasi belajar dengan kreativitas belajar siswa di SMP Muhammadiyah 1. *Skripsi*, FKIP Jambi.
- Warti, E. (2016). Pengaruh motivasi belajar siswa terhadap hasil belajar matematika siswa di SD Angkasa 10 Halim Perdana Kusuma Jakarta Timur. MOSHARAFA: Jurnal Pendidikan Matematika, 5(2), 177–185. DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.31980/mosharafa.v5i2.273.</u>
- Yuan, X. & Sriraman, B. (2011). An exploratory study of relationships between students' creativity and mathematical problem-posing abilities, in: Sriraman, Bharath, & Kyeong Hwa Lee (Eds.), *The element of creativity* and giftedness in mathematics, (pp.6-28). Rotterdam: Sense Publishers. DOI:10.1007/978-94-6091-439-3_2.